



Notice of Intent to Award

Solicitation Number	339271	Award Date	6/13/2023 9:27 AM CDT
Solicitation Title	Centennial and 49th Avenue Water Infrastructure Replacement (A&E)		
Buyer Name	Christina Alexander	Buyer Email	christina.alexander@nashville.gov
BAO Rep	Sierra Washington	BAO Email	sierra.washington@nashville.gov

Awarded Supplier(s)

In reference to the above solicitation and contingent upon successful contract negotiation, it is the intent of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County to award to the following supplier(s):

Company Name	Hethcoat and Davis Inc	Company Contact	Keith Davis
Street Address	278 Franklin Rd., Ste. #200		
City	Brentwood	State	TN
		Zipcode	37027

Company Name		Company Contact	
Street Address			
City		State	
		Zipcode	

Company Name		Company Contact	
Street Address			
City		State	
		Zipcode	

Certificate of Insurance

The awarded supplier(s) must submit a certificate of insurance (COI) indicating all applicable coverage required by the referenced solicitation. The COI should be emailed to the referenced buyer no more than 15 days after the referenced award date.

Equal Business Opportunity Program

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) must submit a signed copy of the letter of intent to perform for any and all minority-owned (MBE) or woman-owned (WBE) subcontractors included in the solicitation response. The letter(s) should be emailed to the referenced business assistance office (BAO) rep no more than two business days after the referenced award date.

Yes, the EBO Program is applicable.

No, the EBO Program is not applicable.

Monthly Reporting

Where applicable, the awarded supplier(s) will be required monthly to submit evidence of participation and payment to all small (SBE), minority-owned (MBE), women-owned (WBE), LGBT-owned (LGBTBE), and service disabled veteran owned (SDV) subcontractors. Sufficient evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to copies of subcontracts, purchase orders, applications for payment, invoices, and cancelled checks.

Questions related to contract compliance may be directed to the referenced BAO rep.

Yes, monthly reporting is applicable.

No, monthly reporting is not applicable.

Public Information and Records Retention

Solicitation and award documentation are available upon request. Please email the referenced buyer to arrange.

A copy of this notice will be placed in the solicitation file and sent to all offerors.

Right to Protest

Per MCL 4.36.010 – any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract may protest to the purchasing agent. The protest shall be submitted in writing within ten (10) days after such aggrieved person knows or should have known of the facts giving rise thereto.

MLL Supervisor (Initial)

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane
Purchasing Agent & Chief Procurement Officer

**RFQ 339271 Centennial and 49th Ave Water Infrastructure Replacement (A&E)
Review Board Score Sheet**

Offeror	HDR Engineering Inc.	Hethcoat and Davis Inc.	OHM Advisors	Smith Seckman Reid Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
Contract Acceptance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Company Information (10 Points)	8.00	8.00	9.00	7.00	8.00
Project Team (20 Points)	17.00	17.00	18.00	16.00	17.00
Experience on Similar Projects (30 Points)	24.00	25.00	27.00	17.00	24.00
Project Approach (40 Points)	29.00	36.00	31.00	28.00	32.00
Total Evaluation Scores	78.00	86.00	85.00	68.00	81.00

Evaluation Comments

HDR Engineering Inc.
Strengths
Proposal demonstrated firm's experience in the industry. Firm provided a detailed organizational chart. Proposal demonstrated firm's Nation wide presence and available resources. Proposal provided a detailed graph of risks, identifying key risk areas, with a proposed mitigation plan.
Weaknesses
Firm's litigation response was unclear and lacked detail. Firm's proposed quality assurance, and quality control plans lacked detail. Firm's experience demonstrated in proposal was not similar to scope of work. Firm's proposed Project Manager lacked relevant experience to scope of work. Proposal demonstrated limited subcontractor collaboration for proposed subs. Not all reference projects were of similar size or scope. Proposal failed to address surveying. Proposed project approach lacked detail. Firm's proposed public outreach plan lacked detail. Gantt Chart lacked detail.

Hethcoat and Davis Inc.

Strengths

Proposal demonstrated firm's capacity to perform scope of work. Proposal demonstrated firm's experience providing scope of work services. Firm proposed using ground penetrating radar. Proposal provided detailed company information. Proposal demonstrated firm's water line experience. Proposal demonstrated firm's local presence with utilities experience. Reference projects were of similar size, scope, and complexity. Firm's proposed project approach provided an innovative plan for project. Firm provided a detailed Gantt Chart.

Weaknesses

Proposal failed to address firm's proposed safety plan. Proposal lacked detail demonstrating survey and water main plans, and was not submitted in requested format. Firm's organizational chart was unclear and lacked detail, team roles were unclear. Reference projects failed to show proposed team collaborations and failed to list project dates. Proposal failed to address quality assurance and risk mitigation plans.

OHM Advisors

Strengths

Proposal demonstrated firm's experience in the industry. Proposal provided detailed company information. Proposal demonstrated firm's inspection experience. Proposal demonstrated firm's GIS experience. Proposal demonstrated firm's utilities and large water main experience. Proposal demonstrated firm's line work and GEO tech experience. Firm's proposal demonstrated firm's detailed plan for minimizing impacts approach, and community engagement plan.

Weaknesses

Proposal lacked detail explaining firm's error and omissions. Firm's proposed team collaborations lacked detail. Proposal demonstrated proposed project manager had limited experience for scope of work, demonstrates small diameter experience. Proposal demonstrated principle in charge is experienced in water tank work, which is not the scope of work for this project. Reference projects provided lacked detail. Firm's proposed potential risks and quality assurance plan lacked detail. Firm's Gantt Chart was unclear and lacked detail. Firm's proposed project approach lacked detail.

Smith Seckman Reid Inc.

Strengths

Firm provided detailed company information. Firm provided detailed organizational chart. Proposal demonstrated firm's cost estimating and railroad experience. Proposal demonstrated firm's transportation and traffic control experience. Proposal demonstrated experience working with Metro. Firm provided a detailed risk mitigation plan. Proposal addressed corrosive soils. Proposal demonstrated firm's proposed CI technology approach.

Weaknesses

Proposal lacked detail demonstrating firm's water main and survey experience. Proposal demonstrated a high volume of claims (litigations). Proposal lacked detail demonstrating firm's capacity to perform. Firm's proposed quality assurance and safety plans lacked detail. Proposal lacked detail explaining firm's error and omissions. Resumes provided for key team members lacked detail. Proposal failed to demonstrate firm's inspection experience. Most of reference projects provided were not of similar size, scope, or complexity. Proposed project approach and management plans lacked detail.

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Strengths

Proposal demonstrated a detailed safety plan. Proposal demonstrated firm's experience in the industry. Proposal demonstrated firm's design experience for water mains. Proposal demonstrated firm's Nation wide presence and available resources. Proposal identified proposed Metro team for project. Proposal demonstrated firm's GEO tech experience. Proposal demonstrated firm's detailed traffic and risk management plans. Proposal demonstrated firm's detailed quality assurance and quality control plans. Firm provided a detailed Gantt Chart.

Weaknesses

Proposal was unclear of water main and survey experience, services were combined. Firm's litigation response was unclear and lacked detail. Firm's proposed Project Manager lacked relevant experience to scope of work. Firm's organizational chart lacked detail, proposed team member roles were unclear. Proposal failed to demonstrate AVS experience. Proposed project manager was only listed on one reference project, and the scope of work for reference projects was unclear and lacked detail. Firm's proposed project approach lacked detail identifying challenges and resolutions. Firm's proposed timeline not acceptable to Metro.

Enter Solicitation Title & Number Below	
Centennial & 49th Ave. Water Infrastructure (A&E); RFQ 339271	Deemed Responsive
Offeror's Name	
HDR Engineering Inc	Yes
Orchard, Hiltz & McCliment, Inc. (OHM)	Yes
Hethcoat & Davis Inc	Yes
Smith Seckman Reid Inc	Yes
Stantec Consulting Services Inc	Yes

BAO SBE Assessment Sheet

BAO Specialist: Sierra Washington

Contract Specialist: Christina Alexander

Date: 06/07/2023

Department Name: Water

RFP/ITB Number: 339271

Project Name: Centennial and 49th Ave Water Infrastructure Replacement (A&E) (13% SBE/SDV Requirement)

Primary Contractor:	SBE/SDV Requirement Acknowledged	Comments
Hethcoat & Davis, Inc.	Yes	Proposer acknowledged 13% participation requirement of SBE/SDV over life of the project as required by the solicitation. Proposed to utilize the following SBE subcontractors: Cornerstone Engineering for structural engineering services, Croft & Associates for real estate services, Varallo Public Relations for public relations and communication, and Burch Transportation for transportation engineering services.



Statement of M/WBE Utilization **A&E ONLY**

Proposer's/Firm's Name: Hethcoat & Davis, Inc.	Proposer's Phone #: 615-577-4300
Solicitation Title: Centennial & 49th Ave Water Infrastructure Replmt	Proposer's Email Address: keith.davis@hdengr.com
Solicitation #: RFQ 339271	Total Bid Amount:
EBO Goal (%) : <u>8.00</u> MBE% <u>3</u> WBE%	EBO Goal Met? (Y/N) Y

The following MWBE* subcontractor(s)/supplier(s) will be utilized for the performance of this project:

#	MBE/WBE Firm Name	MBE/WBE Firm Address	Phone/E-Mail	Certificate Type (MBE or WBE)	* MBE/WBE Group Type *	Code # UNSPS/NAICS	Description of Work
1	7NT Enterprises	600 Superior Ave E, Cleveland OH	937-414-7452/taavlburn@7NTeng.com	MBE	1	81101703	Geotechnical Drilling & Engineering
2	Cornerstone Engineering	2302 Hurstbourne Village Dr, Louisville, KY	626-777-9002/chris@cek-engineering.com	MBE	1	81101505	Structural Engineering
3	Croft & Associates	352 Lynn Dr Nashville	615-678-5674/dlennak@croftandassociates.com	WBE	1	8013000	Real estate services
4	Varallo Public Relations	643 Spence Ln Nashville	615-367-5200/elizabeth@varallopr.com	WBE	1	8017000	Public Relations/Communications
5	Burch Transportation	901 Woodland St Nashville	615-258-4561/amyburch@burchtransportation.com	WBE	1	81102200	Transportation Engr
6				Select	Select		
7				Select	Select		

I am the duly authorized representative and certify the facts and representations contained in this form and supporting documents are true and correct.

Authorized Representative (Printed Name/Title/Signature) Keith Davis, Secretary	Date 03/31/2023
---	---------------------------

*Note: MWBE is defined as business enterprise maintaining a significant business presence in the Program Area & performing a commercial useful function that is owned by one or more of the following: (1) African Americans (2) Native Americans, (3) Hispanic Americans, (4) Asian Americans, and (5) Women.

Has Prime Acknowledged EBO Goals Achievement?	BAO Only	For Internal Office Use ONLY <i>If No, Good Faith Efforts Met?</i>	BAO Only
--	----------	--	----------

BAO Representative : Sierra Washington **Metro Buyer:** Christina Alexander

Project Manager: George Reagan **Date:** 06/06/20

BAO Notes:

Prime has acknowledged the established M/WBE goals for the solicitation and noted that they can achieve them.

Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: 832DE01C1ACE4681BA47D19AE232989C	Status: Completed
Subject: Intent to Award - RFQ # 339271 for Centennial & 49th Ave Water Infrastructure Replacement	
Source Envelope:	
Document Pages: 7	Signatures: 1
Certificate Pages: 2	Initials: 1
AutoNav: Enabled	Envelope Originator:
Envelope Stamping: Enabled	Christina Alexander
Time Zone: (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada)	730 2nd Ave. South 1st Floor
	Nashville, TN 37219
	christina.alexander@nashville.gov
	IP Address: 170.190.198.185

Record Tracking

Status: Original	Holder: Christina Alexander	Location: DocuSign
6/9/2023 6:02:37 PM	christina.alexander@nashville.gov	
Security Appliance Status: Connected	Pool: StateLocal	
Storage Appliance Status: Connected	Pool: Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County	Location: DocuSign

Signer Events

Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Michelle A. Hernandez Lane michelle.lane@nashville.gov Chief Procurement Officer/Purchasing Agent Metro Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)	 Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 170.190.198.190	Sent: 6/9/2023 6:04:37 PM Viewed: 6/13/2023 9:25:42 AM Signed: 6/13/2023 9:26:03 AM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:
Not Offered via DocuSign

Michelle A. Hernandez Lane michelle.lane@nashville.gov Chief Procurement Officer/Purchasing Agent Metro Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)	 Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 170.190.198.190	Sent: 6/13/2023 9:26:04 AM Viewed: 6/13/2023 9:27:50 AM Signed: 6/13/2023 9:27:55 AM
--	--	--

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:
Not Offered via DocuSign

In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp
Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps

Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	6/9/2023 6:04:37 PM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	6/13/2023 9:27:50 AM
Signing Complete	Security Checked	6/13/2023 9:27:55 AM
Completed	Security Checked	6/13/2023 9:27:55 AM

Payment Events	Status	Timestamps
-----------------------	---------------	-------------------